

Validation of ML Algorithms for Medical Applications



Andreu Badal, DIDSR/OSEL/CDRH/**Food and Drug Administration**

- **So what?** The FDA is responsible for protecting the public health by ensuring the **safety, efficacy, and security** of human and veterinary drugs, biological products, and **medical devices**.
 - Software *in* Medical Devices (**SiMD**) and Software *as* Medical Devices (**SaMD**) are an essential, and fast growing, segment of the medical devices market.
 - **Machine learning** (AI/ML) methods are being implemented in all kinds of medical applications, and with particular strength in **medical imaging**.
 - Unlike older algorithms, ML algorithms learn from examples and their performance might drop with new imaging devices or sub-populations not included in the training dataset.
- **Who cares?** **Patients** trust FDA-approved or cleared products to be safe and effective. Device **manufacturers** expect a clear and fair path to market (least burdensome).



ADSA-24
October 6, 2021

- **Example SaMD in radiology:**
 - Image enhancement software, such as **denoising**.
 - Automatic image **segmentation** (radiation treatment planning, 3D printing).
 - Computer-Aided Diagnosis (CAD) software:
 - **CADe** (detection): Aids in localizing/marketing abnormal regions.
 - **CADx** (diagnosis): Aids in assessing disease type, severity, stage, progression.
 - **CADe/x** (detection/diagnosis): Aid in localizing and characterizing conditions.
 - **CADt** (triage): Aids in prioritizing/triaging time sensitive patient diagnosis.
 - **CADa/o** (acquisition/optimization): Aid in image or signal acquisition (DEN190040).
- Example CADe/x: **MammoScreen** by Therapixel (www.mammoscreen.com)

- The regulatory evaluation of ML software has two components:
 - **Standalone performance**: assess performance, robustness and generalizability of the algorithm with a testing dataset independent of training dataset.
 - **Clinical performance**: required clinical evidence depending on risk level.
 - For image enhancement software, evaluating sample clinical images might be sufficient.
 - For CAD software, ROC analysis (sensitivity vs specificity) and a MRMC reader study might be used to assess clinician's performance with/without the device.
 - Each CAD type has special controls or guidance documents defining the required testing: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/clinical-performance-assessment-considerations-computer-assisted-detection-devices-applied-radiology>
- For more information on FDA ML regulations: www.fda.gov/digitalhealth
 - Whitepaper: **Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Software as a Medical Device Action Plan** (www.fda.gov/media/145022/download)
 - Upcoming Virtual Public Workshop (October 14, 2021): **Transparency of Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning-enabled Medical Devices**