Photon-counting CT*: Potential Advantages over Conventional CT

Taly Gilat Schmidt, PhD Department of Biomedical Engineering Marquette University

*Spectral Photon-Counting CT: Using a photon-counting detector to detected x-rays into 2 or more energy bins

Conclusions

Spectral photon-counting CT:

- Improves SNR and reduces beam hardening through optimal energy weighting
 - Limited additional benefit for N > 5 bins
 - May help explosive detection by reducing clouds
- Reduces noise in material decomposition
 - Limited additional benefit for N > 2 bins
 - May help explosive detection if task is SNR limited
 - Not fully realized due to detector issues
- Identifies K-edge materials
 - K-edge of explosives too low to be detected
 - K-edge may be useful to identify non-threats

Goal: Reduce Cluster Size



ATR today

PD / PFA improved by reducing clouds and overlap between threats/non-threats

*Courtesy of Carl Crawford

Conventional CT

- Doesn't take advantage of higher contrast at lower energies
- Different materials may have same gray level (μ value) in the reconstructed image
- \bullet The reconstructed μ value depends on the thickness of the material



Spectral Photon-Counting CT

- Photon-counting detectors sort photons into energy bins
- What can you do with energy information?
 - Energy Weighting:
 Optimally weight and combine energy-bins to form improved HU image
 - Material Decomposition



Energy-weighted Images

- Energy weighting increased CNR by 40% over photoncounting
- CNR improvement depends on energy-bin configuration
- Opportunity to optimize bins for explosive imaging



Photon-counting

Reduced Clouds Optimal Energy Weighting

Rupcich & Schmidt (2013) Shikhaliev & Fritz (2011) Le et. al (2010)

Beam Hardening Effects





PB: Projection-based optimal weightingIB: Image-based optimal weighting

Reduced Clouds

T. G. Schmidt , 2009

The attenuation coefficient can be decomposed into basis functions

$\mu(x,y,z) = a(x,y,z) \mu_{A} b(x,y,z) \mu_{B}$









Dual kV



Spectral Photon-Counting

Spectral photon-counting CT has more unique energy information — reduced noise

- How does photon-counting compare to dual kV? Same mean, lower noise
- How many bins do you need?
 Limited additional benefit for N>2





 How does photon counting compare to dual-kV when a realistic photon-counting detector is simulated (photons detected in incorrect bins)? Large bias for photon counting



 How does photon-counting perform when detector nonidealities included in decomposition algorithm? Bias corrected, but same noise as dual kV. No benefit for PC



K-edge Imaging

By having N>2 bins, can isolate and directly quantify the concentration of K-edge materials

10x error, 3x noise



Conventional CT Photon-counting

Dual kVp

K-edge Imaging

Reduce Overlap Threat / Non-threat



Schlomka, PMB 2008



Photoelectric



Compton

Iodine



K-edges of Explosives

- K-edges of explosives too low to be detected
- Could be detected by removing object from bag

| Material | K-edge (keV) |
|----------|-----------------|
| Н | 0.01 |
| С | 0.3 |
| Ν | 0.4 |
| 0 | 0.5 |

K-edges of Non-threats?

| Material | K-edge (keV) |
|----------|-----------------|
| Sn | 29 |
| Sb | 30 |
| Те | 32 |
| I | 33 |
| Xe | 35 |
| Cs | 36 |
| Ba | 37 |
| La | 39 |
| Ce | 40 |
| Pr | 42 |
| Nd | 44 |

| Material | K-edge (keV) |
|----------|-----------------|
| Pm | 45 |
| Sm | 47 |
| Eu | 49 |
| Gd | 50 |
| Tb | 52 |
| Dy | 54 |
| Но | 56 |
| Er | 57 |
| Tm | 59 |
| Tb | 61 |
| Lu | 63 |

| Material | K-edge (keV) |
|----------|-----------------|
| Hf | 65 |
| Та | 67 |
| W | 69 |
| Re | 72 |
| Os | 74 |
| Ir | 76 |
| Pt | 78 |
| Au | 80 |
| Hg | 82 |
| Th | 85 |
| Pb | 88 |

K-edge of lodine

- X-ray transmission generally increases with energy
- Transmission decreases sharply at K-edge
- K-edge can be identified for iodinated contrast agent



Iodinated X-ray Contrast Agent

370 mg/cm³ lodine

Detect the K-edge of Salt?



Identifying salt may be useful for discriminating non-threat



Detect the K-edge of Salt?



Table Salt

0.1 mg/cm³ lodine

Not many 30-40 keV photons penetrate, difficult to see K-edge

Conclusions

Spectral photon-counting CT:

- Improves SNR and removes beam hardening through optimal energy weighting
 - Limited additional benefit for N > 5 bins
 - May help explosive detection by reducing clouds
- Reduces noise in material decomposition
 - Limited additional benefit for N > 2 bins
 - May help explosive detection if task is SNR limited
 - Not fully realized due to detector issues
- Identifies K-edge materials
 - K-edge of explosives too low to be detected
 - K-edge may be useful to identify non-threats

Acknowledgments

Franco Rupcich, Fatih Pektas, Kevin Zimmerman (Marquette) Steve Haworth (MCW)

NIH R21 EB015094-01A1

This study was supported in part by an appointment to the Research Participation Program at the FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health